

| | |
|---|--|
| Family Name | Pitman |
| Given Name | Nicola |
| Person ID | 1287580 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Pitman |
| Given Name | Nicola |
| Person ID | 1287580 |
| Title | Our Vision |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>Building houses on flood plains and old mines, not legally compliant, house insurance will be impossible as will getting a mortgage. The homes will therefore then only be good to rent out which goes against what is allowed in the plan.</p> <p>More housing is not justified in this area, there are plenty of empty properties of varying sizes all around, I could name at least five in the few streets just local to me, no more than two minutes from my house. There is no housing need.</p> <p>Taking away green space that current residents enjoy and hold dear to build houses on does not build a community, it creates divides and resentment, an area which you chose to live and build a future and family is no longer that, it has changed to something unrecognisable and forces people out, the community gets less and less diverse as a result as what was once on offer is no longer there. How are you providing access to green space by taking it away????</p> <p>The area is overpopulated already, making what used to be an enjoyable place to live no longer that, it is already NOT a place for everyone.</p> <p>How can so few people make such huge decisions on behalf of so many without them even knowing? Corruption and greed is at heart here. Recent developments in the area have already provided over 200 more houses, enough is enough now.</p> |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect | Consultation and openness with people that actually live here.. |

| | |
|--|---|
| of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | |
| Family Name | Pitman |
| Given Name | Nicola |
| Person ID | 1287580 |
| Title | Our Strategic Objectives |
| Type | Web |
| Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | NA |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | NA |
| Soundness - Effective? | NA |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Family Name | Pitman |
| Given Name | Nicola |
| Person ID | 1287580 |
| Title | JPA 14: Broadbent Moss |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not | Building on flood planes and historic mining areas is going to make getting insurance and mortgages pretty impossible, the houses will then not be available to anyone, only those that will be able to rent them out. |

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

There has been no open local consultation, the majority of people are unaware.

There is no housing need, I could mention at least 5 empty properties on the streets no more than two minutes walk from my own home. Where are the 3000 + people that apparently need these homes?

The area is no longer what the people who live here want, it no longer proves the open space that was once enjoyed here, how is building another 1000+ homes making it a place for everyone? There is already a growing lack of diversity in the community as people feel pushed out.

How can so few people make such huge decisions for so many people without proper consultation?

The use of jargon and making the wording of the plans so confusing is not legally acceptable. Nor is making the objection process so lengthy and complicated.